

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,773 07/26/2001		Courtney Flem Morgan	SU/V-31557P1	6895
7590 03/09/2004		EXAMINER		
GARDNER GROFF, P.C.			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
PAPER MILL	VILLAGE, BUILDING 23			
600 VILLAGE TRACE, SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MARIETTA, GA 30067			3738	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		
•	A/ -	
_	10	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/915,773	MORGAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 200 <u>4</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12-24-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends the Brady et al. IOL has a uniform edge thickness around the periphery, therefore Brady et al. lacks flared portions. Examiner disagrees because lines 14-17 of page 14 disclose portions of the peripheral zone having thickness equal to the periphery of the optic.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,6-9,11,13,14,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brady et al. WO 94/18908.

Brady et al. discloses in figure 3, abstract, lines 13-30 of page 2 and lines 10-34 of page 10 a foldable or rollable intraocular lens comprised of shape memory material wherein the optic has thickness greater than a peripheral edge thickness and flared peripheral edge thickness (which is greater than the peripheral edge thickness). The flared portions comprise bosses into which haptics are attached.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady et al. WO 94/18908 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and in further view of Stoy US PN 4,731,079.

Brady et al. meets the structural limitations of claims 5 and 12 as described above but lacks the express written disclosure of using a rollable modified PMMA material for the lens body. Stoy discloses an intraocular lens having a rollable modified PMMA material for the lens body in order to assist implantation through a smaller incision to reduce recovery periods.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens disclosed by Brady et al by using a rollable modified PMMA material for the lens body in order to assist implantation through a smaller incision to reduce recovery periods as taught by Stoy.

5. Claims 3,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady et al. WO 94/18908 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and in further view of Bissonette et al. US PN 4,725,276.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773

Art Unit: 3738

Brady et al. meets the structural limitations of claims 3 and 10 as described above but lacks the express written disclosure of thermally welding the haptics to the bores. Bissonette et al. discloses an intraocular lens having haptics thermally welded to bores in order to securely attach the haptics to the lens body.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the intraocular lens disclosed by Brady et al by thermally welding the haptics to the bores in order to securely attach the haptics to the lens body as taught by Bissonette et al.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 09/915,773

Art Unit: 3738

is 703-305-0316. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00-4:30 (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-2708 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

WHM

March 4, 2004

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 5